



Toward deeper development of Biogeochemical-Argo floats

Xiao-Gang XING, Hervé CLAUSTRE, Emmanuel BOSS & Fei CHAI

To cite this article: Xiao-Gang XING, Hervé CLAUSTRE, Emmanuel BOSS & Fei CHAI (2018) Toward deeper development of Biogeochemical-Argo floats, Atmospheric and Oceanic Science Letters, 11:3, 287-290, DOI: [10.1080/16742834.2018.1457932](https://doi.org/10.1080/16742834.2018.1457932)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/16742834.2018.1457932>



© 2018 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group



Accepted author version posted online: 05 Apr 2018.
Published online: 14 May 2018.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 522



View related articles [↗](#)



View Crossmark data [↗](#)



Toward deeper development of Biogeochemical-Argo floats

XING Xiao-Gang^a, CLAUSTRE Hervé^b, BOSS Emmanuel^c and CHAI Fei^{a,c}

^aSecond Institute of Oceanography, State Oceanic Administration, Hangzhou, China; ^bLaboratoire d'Océanographie de Villefranche, Sorbonne Universités, Villefranche-sur-Mer, France; ^cSchool of Marine Sciences, University of Maine, Orono, ME, USA

ARTICLE HISTORY Received 31 January 2018; Revised 23 February 2018; Accepted 26 February 2018

KEYWORDS Biogeochemical-Argo; Argo project; marine biogeochemistry; phytoplankton; dissolved oxygen; nitrate; pH; particle

1. Introduction

The Biogeochemical-Argo (BGC-Argo) Program aims at operating a network of profiling floats equipped with sensors of key biogeochemical variables for supporting research activities that address impacts of climate change on oceanic biogeochemical cycles and ecosystems (Claustre et al. 2010; IOCCG 2011; Johnson et al. 2009).

Following a series of publications reporting on successful long-term deployments of profiling floats with various biogeochemical sensors (e.g. Boss et al. 2008; Johnson, Riser, and Karl 2010; Körtzinger et al. 2004) and years of international coordination, the BGC-Argo program was officially launched in October 2016, as an extension of the Argo Program (Biogeochemical-Argo Planning Group 2016; Johnson and Claustre 2016). The objectives of the BGC-Argo Program are to establish and sustain an array with 1000 floats within five years. Similar to Argo, this is a coordinated, voluntary, distributed, and multinational network of profiling floats, but with a significant addition of sensors. At the moment, the global network is progressively maturing through a cluster of national projects, e.g. the French SOCLIM project (<http://soclim.com>), United States' SOCCOM project (<http://socom.princeton.edu>), South Africa's SOCCO BIO-ARGO project (<http://socco.org.za/research/#Bio-optics>), and Chinese ReMOCA project in the Southern Ocean; the European REMOCEAN project (<http://remoccean.eu>) and Japanese INBOX project (<http://www.jamstec.go.jp/ARGO/inbox>) in the subtropical gyres; the United States' NAAMES project (<http://naames.larc.nasa.gov>) and UK-BIO-ARGO project in the subpolar gyres; as well as the French NAOS project (<http://en.naos-equipex.fr/>) in the Mediterranean Sea and Polar Area. As of November 2017, there are 282 such operational floats working in the global ocean, mainly covering the

Southern Ocean, Indian Ocean, eastern Pacific, Atlantic, and Mediterranean Sea (Figure 1). Six BGC-Argo core variables have been identified: dissolved oxygen, nitrate (NO_3), pH, Chl-*a*, suspended particles, and downwelling irradiance (Figure 1).

The price of a BGC-Argo float varies from around 25 000 USD to more than 120 000 USD, depending on the types and number of sensors. Although its cost is significantly higher than a simple Argo float (around 18 000 USD), which measures temperature, salinity and depth, these additional observations are critical to study the ocean's biogeochemistry.

On 10 and 11 October 2017, the Second Institute of Oceanography of the State Oceanic Administration of China hosted a workshop designed to summarize the latest achievements of the BGC Program, preview the short-term and long-term future perspectives, discuss data quality-control procedures and distribution, introduce some emerging technologies that could upgrade BGC floats in the future, as well as present and exchange new scientific and multidisciplinary applications of BGC-Argo observations. More than 15 participants were invited, which included members of the international BGC-Argo steering committee (from Australia, Europe, France, the UK, US, and China), national Argo project representatives from China, manufacturers of floats, sensors, and batteries, as well as other oceanographers and remote-sensing scientists who are interested in Biogeochemical-Argo float data.

2. Major achievements

Workshop participants exchanged views and ideas for future developments of BGC-Argo floats and a set of recommendations were adopted (see below).

CONTACT CHAI Fei fchai@sio.org.cn

© 2018 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.
This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.




Figure 1. Map of operational Biogeochemical-Argo floats (November 2017). (Source: www.jcommops.org)

2.1. Overall challenges

The overall challenges of the International BGC-Argo Project were revisited, which include:

- (1) Progressive development of the network toward an ocean-wide operational fleet of 1000 floats measuring six primary variables, and especially the subsequent sustainability of this network in the long term. This objective requires the deployment of around 250 floats per year, which represents a yearly investment in the region of 25 million USD for the community (including data management and distribution).
- (2) Development of an efficient data management, quality control, and distribution system serving the end-user oceanography community.
- (3) Interest in further expanding the sensor suite, in particular toward the measurement of variables of key relevance for biogeochemical cycle and ecosystem studies (e.g. carbonate system, zooplankton), while respecting the international Argo framework.

2.2. Short-term perspectives

With respect to short-term progress, the following items were raised:

- Five key research topics were identified where BGC-Argo could contribute significantly: (1) ocean carbon uptake; (2) oxygen minimum zones and NO_3 cycling; (3) ocean acidification; (4) the biological carbon pump; and (5) phytoplankton communities. In addition, two topics of societal relevance were identified: living marine resources and carbon budget verification.
- Discussion took place on how to improve real-time quality-control procedures for chlorophyll fluorometry and delayed mode quality-control procedures of dissolved oxygen. This was important in light of the Argo Data Management Team (ADMT) meeting that followed a month later and where these issues were discussed at length.
- Derivation of new variables were discussed, such as euphotic depth, diffused attenuation coefficients, pCO_2 , size indices, and particle flux proxies.

- In consultation with the ADMT, organizing the B-ADMT (BGC-Argo Data Management Team) for more effective and efficient data quality-control and management.
- The need to establish three or four B-DACs (BGC-Argo Data Assembly Centers) around the world to improve data access to oceanographers to increase data utilization.
- The need for a fair data use statement of BGC-Argo data, with a generic statement of the Argo and Biogeochemical-Argo projects, and the DOI number(s) to specify the processing version of data used.
- The need for regular meetings among float and sensor manufacturers, engineers, and scientists to improve sensor technology and quality control, as well as for the development of new technology.
- Extending the community through special classes (e.g. summer schools) or training workshops, with emphasis on inviting participants from developing countries.
- Beginning to work on a 10-year BGC-Argo plan, which will be proposed in the OceanObs19' meeting.

2.3. Data quality control

With respect to core BGC-Argo variables and to their processing and quality control, various issues were discussed and recommendations were made to be discussed as part of the upcoming ADMT.

- The RTQC (Real-Time Quality Control) procedures on chlorophyll fluorometers were revised; the recommendation included applying the global factor between the WET Labs fluorescence chlorophyll product and chlorophyll-a concentration (Roesler et al. 2017);
- The calibration issues and the discrepancies between different types of backscattering sensors were discussed (Poteau, Boss, and Claustre 2017). Correction schemes were suggested to be agreed upon in the ADMT meeting that took place a month later.
- The use of oxygen measurements to assist in correcting drifts in NO_3 and pH sensors (Johnson et al. 2017; Williams et al. 2016);
- The use of the optical NO_3 sensor to retrieve additional parameters like chromophoric/colored dissolved organic matter (CDOM) absorption. CDOM fluorescence observations on the floats that have both measurements could be used for validation.

2.4. New technologies

Some cutting-edge technologies were presented:

- Temperature rechargeable battery technology provides a potential solution to reduce the cost per profile and increase the float lifetime (Chao 2016), especially in terms of the implementation floats with sensors with high power demand (e.g. active acoustic for zooplankton).
- Advanced anti-biofouling system approaches developed for BGC-Argo floats, including mechanical cleaning, coatings, hydraulics, and UV LED technology.
- Active control of float vertical positioning using circulation models to steer floats in space. Such control could, for example, improve horizontal coverage by floats and avoid shallow waters and coastlines, as well as maintain a uniform coverage.

2.5 Applications

To date, there are already approximately 120 peer-reviewed papers related to BGC-Argo technology, sensor calibration, data quality control, and scientific applications (<http://biogeochemical-argo.org/peer-review-articles.php>). In particular, in 2016 and 2017, 47 papers were published attesting to the great value of BGC-Argo floats in studying marine bio-optics, biogeochemistry, and physical-biological coupling.

Discussions at the workshop highlighted a variety of scientific applications, including studies of mesoscale and submesoscale phenomena, episodic events, seasonal variation, and the dynamics of biogeochemical variables.

For example, optical backscattering observed with BGC-Argo floats can detect the seasonal carbon export flux of small particles. In the Norwegian Sea, the estimated export was comparable to published export values, and contributed to long-term carbon sequestration. The results suggest the importance of small particles and of physical mixing in the biological carbon pump (Dall'Olmo and Mork 2014).

BGC-Argo float data are very often used to assess the phytoplankton response to eddies. Combining winter observations from ship and float, the study of Dufois et al. (2017) provided evidence for two mechanisms to enhance the surface chlorophyll-a concentration in anticyclonic eddies (ACEs) in the South Indian Ocean subtropical gyre: (1) ACEs can trap productive waters through horizontal advection, and/or (2) locally modify the chlorophyll distribution through enhanced convective mixing.

In addition, BGC-Argo float data could be utilized for validation of satellite-derived products. Based on a large number of BGC-Argo float data in the Southern Ocean, Haentjens, Boss, and Talley (2017) compared float-measured chlorophyll-a concentrations and particle organic carbon (POC) concentrations (derived from measured backscattering) with corresponding products derived from two ocean color satellite instruments: the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) onboard Aqua, and the Visible Infrared Imaging Radiometer Suite (VIIRS). They found the Ocean Color Index (OCI) global algorithm to agree well with the matchups (within 9% for VIIRS and 12% for MODIS), and POC estimates based on floats to agree well with NASA's POC algorithm. This study validated the effectiveness of the OCI chlorophyll-a algorithm in the Southern Ocean.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Funding

This workshop was supported by the Scientific Research Fund of the Second Institute of Oceanography, State Oceanic Administration [grant number 14283, QNYC1702] and the Qingdao National Laboratory for Marine Science and Technology [grant number QNLM2016ORP0103].

References

- Biogeochemical-Argo Planning Group. 2016. "The Scientific Rationale, Design, and Implementation Plan for a Biogeochemical-Argo Float Array." (Report) edited by K. Johnson and H. Claustre. doi:10.13155/46601.
- Boss, E., D. Swift, L. Taylor, P. Brickley, R. Zaneveld, S. Riser, M. J. Perry, and P. G. Strutton. 2008. "Observations of Pigment and Particle Distributions in the Western North Atlantic from an Autonomous Float and Ocean Color Satellite." *Limnology and Oceanography* 53: 2112–2122.
- Chao, Y. 2016. "Autonomous Underwater Vehicles and sensors Powered by Ocean Thermal Energy." IEEE conference "OCEANS 2016 – Shanghai", 10–13 April. doi: 10.1109/OCEANSAP.2016.7485367.
- Claustre, H. et al. 2010. "Bio-optical Profiling Floats as New Observational Tools for Biogeochemical and Ecosystem Studies: Potential Synergies with Ocean Color Remote Sensing." In *Proceedings of the OceanObs'09: Sustained Ocean Observations and Information for Society Conference*, edited by J. Hall, D. E. Harrison, and D. Stammer, 21–25. Venice: ESA Publ. WPP-306, September.
- Dall'Olmo, G., and K. A. Mork. 2014. "Carbon Export by Small Particles in the Norwegian Sea." *Geophysical Research Letters* 41: 2921–2927. doi:10.1002/2014GL059244.
- Dufois, F., N. J. Hardman-Mountford, M. Fernandes, B. Wojtasiewicz, D. Shenoy, D. Slawinski, M. Gauns, J. Greenwood, and R. Toresen. 2017. "Observational insights into chlorophyll distributions of subtropical South Indian Ocean eddies." *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 44: 3255–3264. doi:10.1002/2016GL072371.
- Haentjens, N., E. Boss, and L. D. Talley. 2017. "Revisiting Ocean Color algorithms for chlorophyll a and Particulate Organic Carbon in the Southern Ocean using Biogeochemical Floats." *Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans*. 122: 6583–6593. doi:10.1002/2017jc012844.
- IOCCG. 2011. "Bio-Optical Sensors on Argo Floats, IOCCG Rep." Ser. 11: 1–89.
- Johnson, K., and H. Claustre. 2016. Bringing Biogeochemistry into the Argo Age, Eos 97: 11–15. Washington, DC. doi:10.1029/2016EO062427.
- Johnson, K. S., W. M. Berelson, E. S. Boss, Z. Chase, H. Claustre, S. R. Emerson, N. Gruber, A. Körtzinger, M. J. Perry, and S. C. Riser. 2009. "Observing Biogeochemical Cycles at Global Scales with Profiling Floats and Gliders: Prospects for a Global Array." *Oceanography* 22: 216–225.
- Johnson, K. S., S. C. Riser, and D. M. Karl. 2010. "Nitrate Supply from Deep to Near-surface Waters of the North Pacific Subtropical Gyre." *Nature* 465: 1062–1065.
- Johnson, K. S., et al. 2017. "Biogeochemical Sensor Performance in the SOCCOM Profiling Float Array." *Journal of Geophysical Research:Oceans* 122:6416–6436. doi:10.1002/2017JC012838.
- Körtzinger, A., J. Schimanski, U. Send, and D. Wallace. 2004. "The Ocean Takes a Deep Breath." *Science* 306: 1337.
- Poteau, A., E. Boss, and H. Claustre. 2017. "Particulate Concentration and Seasonal Dynamics in the Mesopelagic Ocean based on the Backscattering Coefficient Measured with Biogeochemical-Argo Floats." *Geophysical Research Letters* 44: 6933–6939.
- Roesler, C., et al. 2017. "Recommendations for Obtaining Unbiased Chlorophyll Estimates from *in situ* Chlorophyll Fluorometers: A Global Analysis of WET Labs ECO Sensors." *Limnology and Oceanography: Methods* 15 (6): 572–585. doi:10.1002/lom3.10185.
- Williams, N. L., L. W. Juranek, K. S. Johnson, R. A. Feely, S. C. Riser, L. D. Talley, J. L. Russell, J. L. Sarmiento, and R. Wanninkhof. 2016. "Empirical Algorithms to Estimate Water Column pH in the Southern Ocean." *Geophysical Research Letters* 43: 3415–3422. doi:10.1002/2016GL068539.